### Bigger bangs for fertiliser bucks: future directions for crop nutrition research





#### **GRDC's new investment into nutrition**

A unique and unprecedented \$14.5 million suite of soils and grain crop nutrition projects for Western Australia. Involving extensive collaboration between industry, government and universities, the new investments were initiated by the GRDC which is the major investor in the research, committing \$8.3 million towards the three projects. Coinvestments equivalent to \$6.2 million have been committed by The University of WA (UWA), the Department of Primary Industry and Regional Development (DPIRD), Commonwealth scientific and research organisation (CSIRO), Murdoch University, CSBP, and Summit Fertilizers.

#### **Projects:**

Increasing profit from N, P and K fertiliser inputs into the evolving cropping sequences in the Western Region (Craig Scanlan) (UWA) (5-year project)

Improved sampling methods to better predict nutrient availability and supply for soils in the Western Region (Phil Ward) (CSIRO) (4-year project)

Nutrient re-distribution and availability in ameliorated and cultivated soils in the Western Region (Craig Scanlan) (DPIRD) (4-year project)



# Soil sampling investment

- Modern farming systems can change nutrient distributions
  - No-till, soil amelioration, on-row sowing
- Diverse methods for soil sampling
  - On/off row, depth, samples/paddock
- What is the best way to get value from soil sampling?
  - Consistent sampling methodology



### Increasing profit from N, P and K fertiliser inputs into the evolving cropping sequences in the Western Region

**Research focus** 

#### **Motivation**

- $\downarrow$  Confidence in soil testing
- $\downarrow$  Legume area •
- $\downarrow$  Confidence in ٠ soil N supply
- Earlier sowing ٠
- Changing rainfall •

#### Nitrogen N mineralisation and immobilisation Impact of changing rainfall on soil N supply to crop Phosphorus Impact on yield of repeatedly applying low rates of P Predicting soil P supply (incl. subsoils) • Starter P and early growth Potassium • Methods of soil analysis for K • K availability in fine-textured soils • Long-term fate of applied K Economic

- Management decisions that have the greatest
  - influence on profit from fertiliser







٠











#### SYN 2.0 Economic

analysis

٠

٠

Technical workshops with growers

Outputs

**Decision support** ٠ products



#### Constraints to Plant Production

Daniel Murolay Matthias Leopold Dennis van Gool Frances Hoyle Elizabeth Stockdale

# Soil Quality





#### Soil Quality: 1 Constraints to Plant Production

now freely available as a digital book on the iBooks Store Search for 'Soil Quality'

Just released...

### Soil Quality: 2 Integrated Soil Management

newly available to download from the iBooks Store Search for 'Soil Quality'

"A great resource for farmers, agricultural professionals and students, with experts from their fields sharing current knowledge and best practice techniques in layers of information, allowing readers to choose the level of detail they require"

SoilsWest Director and UWA's Frances Hoyle.

The Soil Quality series is an output of SoilsWest partners from The University of Western Australia. the Department of Primary Industries and Regional Development, Wheatbelt Natural Resource Management, with support from NRM WA and the Grains Research and Development Corporation.

Get it on iBooks

Look for us

in the

exhibitor

space





Department of **Primary Industries and** Regional Development



wheatbelt natural resource management









### Nutrient re-distribution and availability in ameliorated and cultivated soils in the Western Region

Combining different scales of research...

#### Plot

Strategic tillage and:

- Soil nutrient ٠ redistribution
- Root growth ٠
- Soil water ٠

- Field trial
- Changes in soil supply due amelioration
- Impact of soil amelioration on yield response

to fertilisers

### Paddock

- Utilise natural variation in yield response
- Impact of paddockscale variation vs changes due to tillage on nutrient management

To deliver ...

- Soil testing methodology for ameliorated soils
- Prediction of yield • response to fertiliser application



Grains Research & Development















### kg to produce 1 tonne



# Barley and canola planted area has increased over the last 20 years and lupin has decreased.



# Today's panel

- Craig Scanlan
- Sean Mason



Analyte	Recommended core number	
рН	30 - 40	10 - 20 where site is uniform & lime never applied
к	30 - 40	50 - 60 where fertiliser recently applied
Р	30 - 40	50 - 60 where fertiliser recently applied
ос	5 - 10	Higher where organic matter varies

From Soil Analysis: An Interpretation Manual, 1999 Edited by: KI Peverill, LA Sparrow, DJ Reuter

# DGT vs Colwell P

### > 75 replicated field trials assessing wheat response to applied P across WA, SA, VIC, NSW, QLD





The ratio of plant SA : soil SA gauging the **Isotopic dilution - WA** accuracy of the soil test methods 8 where a value of 1 means the soil test has correctly "seen" the same P as plants. 7 6 \* Plant SA/Soil SA 5 4 3 2 1 0 3 1 2 4 5 6 Mehlich BSES Colwell Resin DGT Ratios significantly (p≤0.05) deviating from 1 are denoted by \*

ΕIJ









# **DGT-P** lab accuracy

New test and therefore not in the ASPAC proficiency rounds





# Is there a relationship between Colwell & Exchangeable K?BFDC data



Colwell K < 100 mg/kg



Is there a relationship between Colwell & Exchangeable K? - WA data





# Investigation – Soil testing, January 18 0-10cm comparison

2 cores per plot, 6 treatment reps - every plot sampled and analysed in 10cm increments to 50cm





# Investigation – Soil testing, January 18

2 cores per plot, 6 treatment reps, every plot sampled and analysed in 10 cm increments to 50cm Sampling time Jan 18, 1040 K tests Soil test value decrease from 220 to 125 from July sampling



# In field soil testing



Hand-held MIR instruments as good or better than laboratory instrument



# In field soil testing

- IR technology has significant potential to provide rapid analysis of several soil characteristics and crop N status in the field
- Not a fit for all need specific skills in order to run spectral data and perform a prediction
- Reliant on cheap, robust sensors new sensor technology coming on line every year
- Also reliant on continued validation, quality control with a laboratory
- Potential Soil characteristics predicted by IR pH, OC, TC, TN, Texture, PBI, CEC, CaCO<sub>3</sub>, DUL, Wilting point

More research required to assess impact of soil moisture Incorporation onto soil sampling machinery



# Accuracy of commercial Laboratories ASPAC proficiency rounds: Soil and plant

- Obtain certification for each test which is posted on the ASPAC website: <u>www.aspac-Australasia.com</u>
- Unknown soils (4) are posted to participating laboratories 3 times a year
- These samples are heavily prepared fine ground/homogenised
- Laboratories perform their relevant tests and submit results back to a central body – Global Proficiency Ltd.
- Each laboratory only allowed a certain number of demerit points before they are not accredited for that test.
- If they are within an accuracy range they obtain certification.
- No certification available for Colwell K





#### Round SOILCHEK-08/06/2015





#### Round SOILCHEK-08/06/2015



Round 614 for SOILCHEK due 08/06/2015

Lab Code: 50045 Rpt 1

#### Round 614 for SOILCHEK due 08/06/2015

#### Lab Code: 50045 Rpt 1

Your method is: 912a

ASS - Solls - Extr P Colwell 9B1 9B2 (mg/kg)

#### Your method is: 981









#### Sample Sample Sample Sample A519006-1 ARE1006-3 A801084-0 A\$\$1006-4 Your Result (L/kg) 284 49.0 247 41.0 Lab Uncertainty (L/kg) ASPAC score 5.616 -8.518 0.375 £ 138 ASPAC Lower Limit 284 44.7 181 15.2 332 306 24.2 ASITAC Upper Limit 58 Tatal Outliers 1 3 3 Total Stapplers ÷ 2 . . ASPAC Scores > [2] and < [3] - 8 . 2 ASPAC Summa > 13 ÷ METHOD RESULTS 22 23 No. of Analyzable Results 21 12 Method Mean 288 81.5 234 40.9 Method S.D. 173 1.18 24 1.69 Method CV/% 10.2 7.33 18.8 .... OVERALL REQULTS. Total No. of Results 28 23 22 23 No Hatpill Repute 10 Ú. 0 . No. of Reputs and Outlans 21 18. 22 23 Overal Mean 288 21.2 234 40.5 **Overal Nedlar** 388 50.3 234 43 26.7 2.1 30.4 Overall 5.5 8.15 10.2 7.55 16.8 Overall CV% . fist Ever of the Mean 8.41 0.887 4.48 1.72







BRDC PDATES Lime treatment changed the profit response to P fertiliser for wheat at Wongan Hills in 2012.



# A grey repellent sand with soil nutrients within or above critical ranges.



I	Depth	Organic carbon (%)	pH (CaCl <sub>2</sub> )	Colwell P (mg/kg)	Colwell K (mg/kg)	Sulphur (mg/kg)	MED	WR rating	
(	) to 10	1.69	6.4	18	49	12	3.2	Very severe	
1	0 to 20	0.66	5.8	9	35	9			
2	0 to 30	0.35	5.6	8	29	5			
3	0 to 40	0.25	5.5	12	25	3			
Critical range			16 to 25	32 to 52	2.5 to 3.1				
	(90%)			(0 to 10 cm)	(0 to10 cm)	(0 to 30 cm)			



Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC)

- A Level 4, East Building, 4 National Circuit, Barton, ACT 2600 Australia
- P PO Box 5367 Kingston, ACT 2604 Australia
- T +61 2 6166 4500
- F +61 2 6166 4599

www.grdc.com.au

