

The impacts of early sowing and crop grazing on the grain yield and quality of a range of winter and spring cereal varieties

Philip Barrett-Lennard, agVivo; Sheree Blechynden, Facey Group; Joe Young, Southern DIRT; Greg Warren and Michelle Handley, Farm & General

Key Messages:

- A small number of winter and later maturing spring cereal varieties are suitable for early sowing and grazing in the medium and high rainfall zones of WA
- Early sowing significantly increased the amount of crop biomass available for livestock in mid-winter
- Grazing reduced grain yield in 2 out of 3 experiments

Aim:

The use of crop grazing by mixed farmers can enable total farm productivity to be increased. The area of winter pasture required is reduced, as livestock spend some of their time grazing crops. This allows a greater percentage of the farm to be allocated to cropping for the same number of livestock. Or alternatively, a greater number of livestock can be run for the same area of crop/pasture.

To maximise the benefits from crop grazing, sowing some of the crop program early is critical to produce livestock feed in late autumn and early winter, when pasture is scarcest. However, most farmers in WA don't sow their cereal crops (utilising early-mid maturing spring cereal varieties) until May or early June. From a crop grazing perspective, this relatively late sowing date severely restricts both the amount of crop biomass available for grazing and the length of the grazing window.

To make use of early sowing opportunities, winter type cereals, with a vernalisation requirement for flowering, are needed when sowing in March and early-mid April, and late maturing Spring type cereals, with slow development, are needed when sowing from mid-April to early-May.

The aim of these trials was to assess the impacts of early sowing and crop grazing on the grain yield and quality of a range of winter and spring cereal varieties.

Method:

Trial sites were established at Wickepin, Kojonup and Esperance.

Wickepin:

A small plot (plots 1.5m wide x 10m long) trial was conducted on Gary Lang's farm, 15km NE of Wickepin. The experiment tested 11 varieties, 2 times of sowing (23 April and 27 May) and +/- grazing. There were 3 replicates per treatment. Grazing of the grazed plots was simulated using an auto scythe, with a cutting height of 5cm. The first time of sowing was grazed twice (10 June and 15 July) while the second time of sowing was only grazed once (15 July). Quadrat cuts were used to determine the amount of edible biomass (plant material >5cm in height) available at each grazing. A small plot harvester was used to measure grain yield.

Kojonup:

A large plot (plots 12m wide x 200m long) trial was located on Wayne and Pip Crook's farm, 30km SSW of Kojonup. The experiment tested 7 varieties, 1 time of sowing (6 May) and +/- grazing. There were 3 replicates per treatment. Sheep grazed the grazed plots twice (25 to 27 June and 16 to 18 July), down to a height of approximately 5cm. Quadrat cuts were taken to assess crop biomass pre and post-grazing. A small plot harvester was used to measure grain yield.

Esperance:

A large plot (plots 18m wide x 300m long) trial was located on David Cox's farm, 25km NE of Esperance. The experiment tested 7 varieties, 1 time of sowing (16 April) and +/- grazing. There were 4 replicates of the Urambie barley +/- grazing treatments, but only one replicate of all other treatments. Cattle grazed the grazed plots twice (4 to 17 June and 7 to 15 July), down to a height of approximately 5cm. A large combine harvester and weigh trailer were used to measure grain yield.

Rainfall:

Table 1: Monthly rainfall (mm) at the Wickepin, Kojonup and Esperance trial sites in 2014.

Site	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year
Wickepin	0	0	7	25	72	24	62	54	36	36	5	0	321
Kojonup	0	22	2	11	136	53	113	70	57	61	16	0	540
Esperance	10	9	1	14	78	46	114	48	60	97	46	0	523

Results:

Wickepin:

Edible dry matter production up to mid-July from the first time of sowing (23 April) was significantly greater than the second time of sowing (27 May) at Wickepin (Table 2). Oats were more productive than barley and wheat, and the “spring” varieties more productive than the “winter” varieties.

Table 2: The impact of variety and time of sowing on “edible” dry matter production (kg/ha >5cm in height) up to mid-July at Wickepin

	Time of Sowing	
Variety	23 April	27 May
Wheat		
Mace	822	116
Magenta	619	118
Forrest	561	82
Currawong ⁺	434	107
Wedgetail ⁺	459	114
Manning ⁺	285	133
Barley		
Hindmarsh	732	83
Oxford	522	85
Urambie ⁺	420	45
Oats		
Bannister	923	79
Eurabbie ⁺	805	80
Average	599	95
P-value	<0.001	<0.001
LSD (p=0.05)	109	109

⁺ denotes “winter” cereal

Table 3: The impact of variety, time of sowing, and grazing on flowering date (Z65 for wheat, Z45 for barley and oats) at Wickepin

	Time of Sowing			
	23 Apr	23 Apr	27 May	27 May
Variety	Grazed	Ungrazed	Grazed	Ungrazed
Wheat				
Mace	19-Sep	28-Aug	19-Sep	19-Sep
Magenta	19-Sep	29-Aug	23-Sep	23-Sep
Forrest	30-Sep	19-Sep	10-Oct	9-Oct
Currawong	23-Sep	19-Sep	3-Oct	3-Oct
Wedgetail	26-Sep	19-Sep	3-Oct	3-Oct
Manning	17-Oct	16-Oct	24-Oct	24-Oct
Barley				
Hindmarsh	29-Aug	11-Aug	4-Sep	2-Sep
Oxford	31-Aug	19-Aug	16-Sep	11-Sep
Urambie	31-Aug	26-Aug	12-Sep	11-Sep
Oats				
Bannister	9-Sep	22-Aug	11-Sep	10-Sep
Eurabbie	9-Sep	5-Sep	16-Sep	16-Sep
Average	16-Sep	5-Sep	23-Sep	22-Sep

Table 4: The impact of variety, time of sowing, and grazing on grain yield (t/ha) at Wickepin

	Time of Sowing			
	23-Apr	23-Apr	27-May	27-May
Variety	Grazed	Ungrazed	Grazed	Ungrazed
Wheat				
Mace	2.5	3.6	3.2	3.8
Magenta	2.6	4.1	3.7	3.8
Forrest	2.8	3.9	3.1	3.4
Currawong	3.3	3.9	3.9	3.7
Wedgetail	2.9	3.7	3.0	3.2
Manning	2.1	2.8	1.1	1.2
Barley				
Hindmarsh	2.8	4.6	3.7	4.2
Oxford	3.5	5.3	3.9	4.1
Urambie	3.1	3.4	3.3	3.6
Oats				
Bannister	3.0	4.1	4.1	4.5
Eurabbie	2.7	3.9	3.7	4.1
Average	2.8	3.9	3.3	3.6
P-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
LSD (p=0.05)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4

Grazing significantly delayed the date of flowering in the first time of sowing, but not the second time of sowing (Table 3). The delay in flowering was significantly longer in “spring” varieties (11 to 22 days) when compared to “winter” varieties (1 to 7 days). Early sowing enabled the late maturing wheat varieties (Forrest, Currawong, Wedgetail) to flower in the optimal mid-late September period. Early-mid maturing varieties (Mace, Magenta) also flowered at this time, but only when sown late, or sown early and grazed. When sown early but not grazed, they flowered in late August (a high frost risk period). The very late maturing Manning wheat always flowered in mid-late October, regardless of management.

Grazing reduced grain yield in both early and late sown crops, although the impact was less in late sown crops (Table 4). When sown early, the two earliest maturing cereal varieties (Mace wheat and Hindmarsh barley) suffered the most from grazing. This is most likely due to grazing removing initiating heads. In all varieties, except the very late maturing Manning wheat, early sown grazed crops yielded less than late sown ungrazed crops.

Kojonup:

Grazing did not have a significant impact on yield, but it did on grain quality at Kojonup (Tables 5 & 6). Small foreign seeds, ergot and screenings all increased under grazing. The increase in ergot and small foreign seeds suggests grazed crops contained more ryegrass than ungrazed crops. Weed numbers were not assessed.

Table 5: The impact of variety and grazing on grain yield (t/ha) at Kojonup

Variety	Grazed	Ungrazed
Calingiri	4.6	4.7
Currawong	5.2	5.1
Forrest	4.2	5.2
Magenta	4.1	4.6
Revenue	4.7	4.4
Scout	4.2	4.3
Wedgetail	4.3	4.6
Average	4.5	4.7
P-value	0.2	0.2
LSD (p=0.05)	1.1	1.1

Table 6: The impact of grazing on grain quality at Kojonup

	Grazed	Ungrazed	P-value	LSD (p=0.05)
Small Foreign Seeds (%)	0.7	0.2	<0.001	0.2
Ergot (cm)	5.8	2.4	0.005	1.8
Screenings (%)	2.7	2.1	0.005	0.3

Esperance:

Grazing significantly reduced grain yield by 0.5 ton/ha at Esperance (Table 7). Insufficient replication made it impossible to determine if there was an interaction between grazing and variety, even though the data suggests the two spring barley varieties (Grange and Oxford) were least affected by grazing. Einstein wheat was the highest yielding ungrazed variety, while Oxford barley was the highest yielding grazed variety. Mace wheat was the lowest yielding grazed variety, and third lowest yielding ungrazed variety, showing there are far better options available when sowing early and grazing.

Table 7: The impact of grazing on grain yield (t/ha) at Esperance

	Grazed	Ungrazed	P-value	LSD (p=0.05)
Grain Yield	3.1	3.6	0.06	0.54

Table 8: The impact of variety and grazing on grain yield (t/ha) at Esperance

Variety	Grazed	Ungrazed
Wheat		
Mace	2.3	3.3
Currawong	2.8	3.2
Einstein	3.4	4.7
Revenue	3.1	3.7
Barley		
Grange	3.2	3.1
Oxford	3.5	3.5
Urambie	3.1	3.6
P-value	0.68	
LSD (P=0.05)	1.6	

Head loss in grazed barley was significantly less than in ungrazed barley at Esperance (Table 9). Varietal differences in head loss were large, with Urambie barley far worse than Grange and Oxford.

Table 9: The impact of variety and grazing on barley head loss (heads/m²) at Esperance

Variety	Grazed	Ungrazed
Grange	12	30
Oxford	4	34
Urambie	62	81

Conclusion:

In these experiments, cereal crops were sown 2 to 4 weeks earlier than standard district practise. This significantly increased the amount of crop biomass available for grazing in early to mid-winter. As an example, the increase in available biomass at Wickepin from early sowing was over 600% (599 vs 95-kg/ha). Clearly, if additional livestock production is a major priority from grazing crops, early sowing is a must.

Grazing did reduce grain yield in 2 out of the 3 experiments. Care must be taken when grazing to avoid inducing large and costly yield penalties. Based on other Grain & Graze research, we know that the timing and amount of crop biomass left when livestock are removed are key factors in determining the size of any yield penalty. In all 3 experiments, livestock were removed from crops in mid-July. We suggest this was either too late, or the amount of biomass remaining after grazing was too little. Confining crop grazing to just the month of June, when pasture availability is most limited, might be one way to reduce the risk of incurring yield penalties. Experimentation in 2015 will explore this.

Grazing did delay crop flowering, especially when crops were sown early and grazed for an extended period. Care needs to be taken when grazing early sown spring varieties with early-mid maturity as they rapidly reach Z30 and grazing can remove developing heads. Winter varieties can be sown as early as February and March without the worry of them rapidly reaching Z30, as this is controlled by their requirement for vernalisation.

The earlier maturing varieties of winter cereals such as Urambie barley and Currawong wheat appear to have a good fit when sown early in the medium and high rainfall zones. Ideally these would be sown in March or early April utilising early autumn rain and/or carryover subsoil moisture. The very late maturing winter cereals such as Revenue and Manning wheat appear to be unsuited to WA conditions due to the risk of moisture stress during grain fill.

Oxford, a high yielding, later maturing spring feed barley, appears to be an excellent option for sowing in mid-late April to provide grazing in early winter, and a high grain yield come harvest. To minimise the risk and size of any yield penalty, grazing could be confined to early winter (i.e. June).

High yielding, early-mid maturing spring cereal varieties such as Mace wheat and Hindmarsh barley should not be sown early with grazing used to hold back their development. Significant yield penalties are likely to occur with this strategy. A better strategy is to sow these in their normal sowing window (May) and graze very lightly in mid-winter (i.e. early July) if livestock feed is in short supply.

Key Words: Grazing, Crops, Cereals

Acknowledgments: The GRDC funded Grain & Graze 3 project for funding this research. Gary Lang, Wayne and Pip Crook, and David Cox for hosting and conducting the trials. James Hunt and Bill Sharpe for statistical analysis. Living Farm for managing the Wickepin trial site. GRDC, Heritage Seeds, Bell Pasture Seeds, Bernie Panizza, Mike Cameron and Rob Warburton for supplying seed.

GRDC Project No: FGI00010

Paper reviewed by James Hunt, CSIRO and David Ferris, DAFWA